

Brussels, 2.8.2013 C(2013) 5197 final

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION

of 2.8.2013

on the Annual Action Programme 2013 in favour of Azerbaijan to be financed from the general budget of the European Union

EN EN

COMMISSION IMPLEMENTING DECISION

of 2.8.2013

on the Annual Action Programme 2013 in favour of Azerbaijan to be financed from the general budget of the European Union

THE EUROPEAN COMMISSION.

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Regulation (EC) No 1638/2006 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 October 2006 laying down general provisions establishing a European Neighbourhood and Partnership Instrument (ENPI)¹, and in particular Article 12 thereof,

Having regard to Regulation (EU, Euratom) No 966/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 25 October 2012 on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union and repealing Council Regulation (EC, Euratom) No 1605/2002² (hereinafter referred to as 'the Financial Regulation'), and in particular Article 84 thereof,

Whereas:

- (1) The Commission has adopted the ENPI Strategy Paper 2007-2013³ for Azerbaijan and the Multiannual National Indicative Programme for the period 2011-2013⁴, point 4 of which provides for the following priorities: democratic structures and good governance; socio-economic reform and sustainable development, trade and investment, regulatory approximation and reform; and implementation of the partnership and cooperation agreement and the European neighbourhood policy action plan, including in the area of energy security, mobility and security.
- (2) The objectives pursued by the Annual Action Programme are to strengthen the institutional capacity of selected public administration institutions in Azerbaijan, and to contribute to the diversification of the economy and sustainable regional development in Azerbaijan, while reducing socio-economic disparities between regions.
- (3) This Decision complies with the conditions laid down in Article 94 of Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) No 1268/2012 of 29 October 2012 on the rules of application of Regulation No 966/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the financial rules applicable to the general budget of the Union⁵ (hereinafter referred to as 'the Rules of Application').
- (4) This Decision constitutes the work programme within the meaning of Article 128(1) of the Financial Regulation and Article 188 of the Rules of Application.

_

OJEU L 310, 9.11.2006, p. 1

OJ L 298, 26.10.2012, p. 1.

³ C(2007)672

C(2010)1144

⁶ As foreseen for in the Twinning Manual.

- (5) This decision should allow for the award of grants in the form of lump sums and/or unit costs and/or flat-rate financing for the reasons and amounts set out in the Annex 1 on the basis of Article 124 of the Financial Regulation and Article 182 of the Rules of Application.
- (6) It is appropriate to acknowledge that grants may be awarded without a call for proposals by the responsible authorising officer who ensures that the conditions for an exception to a call for proposals according to Article 190 of the Rules of Application are fulfilled. Where the reasons for and potential beneficiaries of such award are known at the moment of the adoption of this Decision, they should be identified therein for reasons of transparency.
- (7) The maximum contribution of the European Union set by this Decision should cover any possible claims for interest due for late payment on the basis of Article 92 of the Financial Regulation and Article 111(4) of the Rules of Application.
- (8) The Commission is required to define the term "non-substantial change" in the sense of Article 94(4) of the Rules of Application to ensure that any such changes can be adopted by the authorising officer by delegation, or under his or her responsibility, by sub-delegation (hereinafter referred to as the 'responsible authorising officer').
- (9) The measures provided for in this Decision are in accordance with the opinion of the ENPI Committee set up under Article 26 of Regulation (EC) No 1638/2006,

HAS DECIDED AS FOLLOWS:

Article 1

Adoption of the programme

The Annual Action Programme 2013 in favour of Azerbaijan, constituted by the actions identified in the second paragraph, is approved.

The actions, the description of which is set out in the attached Annexes 1 to 2, respectively, shall be:

- Framework programme in support of EU-Azerbaijan Agreements;
- Support to Regional and Rural Development in Azerbaijan (SRRD).

Article 2

Financial contribution

The maximum contribution of the European Union authorised by this Decision for the implementation of this programme is set at EUR 25 million to be financed from budget line 19 08 01 03 of the general budget of the European Union for 2013.

Article 3

Implementation modalities

Section 4 of the Annexes referred to in the second paragraph of Article 1 sets out the elements required by Article 94(2) of the Rules of Application.

Grants may be awarded without a call for proposals by the responsible authorising officer according to Article 190 of the Rules of Application. Where known at the moment of the adoption of this Decision, the reasons for this as well as the potential beneficiaries shall be identified in the attached Annexes.

The financial contribution referred to in Article 2 shall also cover any possible interests due for late payment.

Article 4

Grants in the form of lump sums and/or unit costs and/or flat-rate financing

The Commission authorises the use of lump sums and/or unit costs and/or flat-rate financing exceeding EUR 60.000 per beneficiary, in accordance with the conditions set out in the Annex 1.

Article 5

Non-substantial changes

Increases or cumulated changes to the allocations of specific actions not exceeding 20 % of the contribution referred to in Article 2 shall not be considered substantial, provided that they do not significantly affect the nature and objectives of the actions.

The responsible authorising officer may adopt these non-substantial changes in accordance with the principles of sound financial management and proportionality.

Done at Brussels, 2.8.2013

For the Commission

Cecilia MALMSTRÖM Member of the Commission

ANNEXES

Annex 1: Framework programme in support of EU-Azerbaijan Agreements

Annex 2: Support to Regional and Rural Development in Azerbaijan (SRRD)

ANNEX 1

of the Commission Implementing Decision on the Annual Action Programme 2013 in favour of Azerbaijan be financed from the general budget of the European Union

Action Fiche for Framework programme in support of EU-Azerbaijan Agreements

1. IDENTIFICATION

Title/Number	Framework programme in support of EU-Azerbaijan Agreements			
	CRIS number: ENPI/2013/024-494			
Total cost	Total estimated cost	Total estimated cost: EUR 15,000,000		
	Total amount of EU	budget contribution: l	EUR 15,000,000	
Aid method /	Project Approach and Call for Proposals			
Method of				
implementation	Direct centralised management (grants – call for proposal;			
	procurement of services)			
DAC-code	15110	Sector	Public sector	
			policy and	
			administrative	
			management	

2. RATIONALE AND CONTEXT

2.1. Summary of the action and its objectives

The overall objective of this framework programme is to strengthen the institutional capacity of selected public administration institutions in Azerbaijan to implement reforms under the current EU-Azerbaijan agreements and the future agreements offered under the Eastern Partnership (EaP).

2.2. Context

2.2.1. Country context

2.2.1.1. Economic and social situation and poverty analysis

In its last 21 years of independence, Azerbaijan has made significant progress in terms of socio-economic development. In 1992, Azerbaijan suffered not only from a war with neighbouring Armenia, but also the collapse of the economy. This is in stark contrast to the current situation.

At present, Azerbaijan is classified by the OECD/DAC as upper middle income country, with a GDP per capita of USD 7,500 in 2012. The main aspiration stated by the President of Azerbaijan is to double the GDP in the next ten years via growth in the non oil sector and attain the status of a high income country. Compared with other countries of the Caucasus or Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS), the Azerbaijani economy is less diversified: the share of oil and gas sector accounts for about 95% of total export and over 50% of GDP.

As a result of this strong growth and targeted social assistance programmes, the poverty rate has dropped fivefold, from 44.7% in 2003 to 6% in 2012, according to official figures (to be taken with caution). According to official statistics, the unemployment rate is 5.4% and inflation within single digits (1.1% in 2012). External debts are only 7% of the GDP and the commercial foreign trade balance is positive. The EU remains the largest trade partner (52.1% share in the foreign trade turnover in 2011), but the structure of the Azerbaijani exports to the EU markets is largely dominated by oil and oil-related products, with limited prospects of diversification. Following its repeated classification as upper middle-income country, Azerbaijan has lost its status under the GSP+ system at the beginning of 2013.

Azerbaijan's Human Development Index (HDI) is 0.731, which gives the country a rank of 76 out of 187 countries with comparable data. The HDI of Europe and Central Asia as a region increased from 0.644 in 1980 to 0.751 today, placing Azerbaijan below the regional average.

2.2.1.2. National development policy

The "State Programme on Poverty Reduction and Sustainable Development" (SPPRSD), approved by Presidential Decree on 15 September 2008 and the Development Concept "Azerbaijan 2020: A Look into the Future" (in the following referred to as "Vision 2020") are the main strategic documents providing the overall priority-setting and development framework of the country.

Among the main priorities listed in the "Vision 2020" are such diverse areas as: development of a highly competitive economy, balanced development in the regions, development of information-communication technologies and transition to e-society, development of human resources and social fields (health, education, social protection, youth), improvement of legislation and strengthening of institutional capacity, civil society development, protection and efficient use of cultural heritage, and environmental protection and ecological issues.

"Vision 2020" will be implemented via different sector programmes that will be developed in the course of 2013 and the following years.

2.2.2. Sector context: policies and challenges

Legal reforms have not kept up with the high economic growth rate. Capacity constraints and lack of political will regarding many good governance related areas result in superficial and limited reform measures, which in turn hamper sustainable economic development and diversification efforts.

The Government of Azerbaijan recognises that approximation to EU standards and best practices is a solution to tackle these constraints, and acknowledges the need for EU assistance in this, as reflected in the Government of Azerbaijan's signature of the Memorandum of Understanding on the 2011-2013 National Indicative Programme (NIP).

This commitment is also reflected in Government of Azerbaijan strategies, most prominently in the Action Plan for Legal Approximation, which sets out a timetable

for legal approximation in areas under these agreements, or the "Vision 2020" development strategy, particularly chapter 8, which refers to 'Improvement of legislation and reinforcing institutional capacity.'

This commitment was reaffirmed in the participation of Government of Azerbaijan in the EaP initiative, which presented additional opportunities for co-operation including the offer of higher level agreements such as an Association Agreement (AA); a Deep and Comprehensive Free Trade Area (DCFTA) Agreement; and Visa Facilitation and Readmission agreements.

While the EU has historically provided regular assistance in the approximation to EU standards and sees the need to continually do so, with the arrival of the offer of the new contractual relations under the EaP, it was clear that substantial institutional building efforts for institutions tasked with their negotiation and implementation were necessary. In response, the EU earmarked EUR 19 million of the 2011-2013 NIP for the Comprehensive Institution-Building (CIB), to assist the Government of Azerbaijan with institution building for a number of core institutions central in preparing for the new EU-Azerbaijan agreements. The Government of Azerbaijan's commitment to this process was confirmed through the signature of a Memorandum of Understanding on the CIB "Framework Document" in January 2011, with coordination of the CIB assigned to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

CIB funds will be spent on the basis of priorities identified in Government of Azerbaijan Institutional Reform Plans (IRPs) in areas reflecting the EaP agreements. These are:

- IRP1: "Strengthening the institutional capacities of Azerbaijan to lead the negotiation process over the new agreements offered under the EaP and to sustain their future implementation, as well as advancing the trade and economic integration with the EU";
- IRP2: "Enhancing the enforcement of human rights and fundamental freedoms and the overall system of rule of law, and supporting the process of negotiating, implementing and sustaining the commitments taken through the negotiations on Visa Facilitation and Readmission agreements";
- IRP3: "Strengthening the civil service training in Azerbaijan with a focus on EU affairs".

Work on IRPs is proceeding: IRP3 was adopted in April 2012 and implementation has already started. IRP2 was adopted in July 2012 and discussions are ongoing on identifying interventions and modalities, with contracting planned to start in mid-2013. IRP1 will be adopted by the Government in the first semester of 2013.

Similar "Framework Programmes in support of EU-Azerbaijan agreements" were established under the Annual Action Programmes (AAP) 2011 and 2012. Funds from the three programmes are used in a complementary way.

2.3. Lessons learnt

While Azerbaijan has demonstrated absorption difficulties in NIP allocations thus far, these have been mainly in programmes implemented through Sector Budget Support, compounded by lack of progress in genuine Public Finance Management (PFM) reform dialogue. For this reason this aid modality is not foreseen under the current Annual Action Programme.

The Twinning instrument, on the other hand, has proven to be an effective tool for mobilizing EU expertise in areas related to institutional reforms, and the transfer of EU best practices is well appreciated in Azerbaijan.

This is reflected in the positive findings of an independent evaluation of the Twinning Instrument in Azerbaijan carried out in October 2012. After surveying almost half of the 21 past and ongoing Twinning interventions in Azerbaijan, the evaluation concluded that Twinning projects are well implemented, achieve their mandatory results, and have long term and sustainable impacts on the beneficiary institutions. It also identified some challenges such as the long formulation phase for Twinning projects and the danger of letting the instrument be too 'demand-driven' and therefore result in reducing the political relevance of the projects selected.

On this basis, further use of the implementation modality will be encouraged in this framework programme. To follow-up on the evaluation findings, more emphasis will be placed on the formulation phase of new Twinning projects and an improved joint planning procedure with the Government has been put into place. More emphasis will be put on complementarity with bilateral interventions, where appropriate and the sequencing of TAIEX, Technical Assistance and Twinning interventions.

2.4. Complementary actions

All activities under this programme will be closely co-ordinated with and will build on the results of interventions and support by other donors in the relevant sectors. In order to ensure complementarity of actions and avoid duplications of efforts with respect to future CIB activities, the EU Delegation closely involved relevant civil society organisations, international organisations and EU Member States in the formulation of the Institutional Reform Plans.

The foreseen interventions will complement ongoing support operations in the fields of justice, agriculture, rural and regional development, Twinning, and technical assistance initiatives, as well as other ongoing and planned activities, e.g. financed under thematic instruments, such as the European Instrument for Democracy and Human Rights or the Non-State Actors and Local Authorities in Development (NSA/LA) programmes. The CIB component will specifically complement activities under AAP 2011 and AAP 2012 for which EUR 9.8 million have already been allocated towards the implementation of IRP2 and IRP3.

2.5. Donor co-ordination

In the absence of a Government-led donor co-ordination mechanism, the EU Delegation has initiated donor co-ordination meetings on a regular basis. Currently,

seven thematic donor co-ordination areas exist on: non-oil sector & trade; agriculture; environment; health; energy; public finance management & macroeconomics; good governance and rule of law. Presently, the Government is only participating in ad-hoc manner in some of the subgroups.

International organisations, diplomatic missions and international financial institutions are invited to the relevant donors' meetings. A key outcome of these meetings is sharing information and consultation on sector specific issues, which has reduced duplications and overlapping. The EU Delegation is also organising quarterly co-operation co-ordination meetings with the EU member states. Moreover, EU Member States and relevant international organisations have been consulted on the development of the individual Institutional Reform Plans under the CIB. Involvement of these and other stakeholders as observers to the respective CIB Steering Committees is envisaged.

3. DETAILED DESCRIPTION

3.1. Objectives

The overall objective of this framework programme is to strengthen the institutional capacity of selected public administration institutions in Azerbaijan to implement reforms under the current EU-Azerbaijan agreements and the future agreements offered under the Eastern Partnership.

The **specific objectives** of this programme are the following:

- (I) to support the relevant institutions within the Azerbaijani administration to comply effectively with the commitments set forth in the EU-Azerbaijan ENP Action Plan, the Partnership and Co-operation Agreement (PCA) and other EU-Azerbaijan agreements;
- (II) to ensure effective institutional building of core institutions central in preparing the ground for and implementing the future EU-Azerbaijan Agreements, including the AA, the Visa Facilitation and Readmission Agreements, and possibly the DCFTA.

3.2. Expected results and main activities

Expected results

I. Implementation of the ENP Action Plan

Public administration of Azerbaijan is strengthened and able to meet European standards and implement commitments foreseen by bilateral agreements between Azerbaijan and the EU.

II. Implementation of new EU-Azerbaijan agreements

Key governmental institutions have been strengthened through the CIB and are better able to sustain the commitments taken under the ongoing negotiations on the EU-Azerbaijan Association Agreement, the Visa Facilitation and Readmission agreements and the DCFTA.

Project interventions under this framework programme are monitored and evaluated to identify and formulate feasible actions for follow-up.

Main activities

I. Implementation of the EU-Azerbaijan ENP Action Plan and other EU-Azerbaijan agreements

- Capacity building to institutions involved in regulatory approximation and reforms in areas covered under the EU-Azerbaijan agreements, including the Commission for European Integration.
- Technical assistance in support to the Programme Administration Office (PAO) at the Ministry of Economic Development.

II. Support to implementation of CIB

- Implementation of the three IRPs, with special emphasis on IRP1 (market, trade and economic reforms) and IRP3 (civil service training) foreseen in the CIB for Azerbaijan.
- Support to the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and to the structure expected to be set up for the overall co-ordination of the Association Agreement and the CIB.

3.3. Risks and assumptions

This programme assumes that Azerbaijan will continue to reform its administration and is committed to its overall reform path. There is a general risk that Azerbaijan may shift away from this path, which at the moment remains low. This risk can be mitigated by i) better explaining the benefits of the EaP to the Government, and ii) engaging in and continuing the policy dialogue in the concerned sectors.

Based on experience, delays could also be expected in signing the financing agreement and during implementation. To mitigate this risk and avoid further delays, the EU Delegation is actively supporting the Government by pursuing a high-level results-oriented dialogue with decision makers.

3.4. Cross-cutting issues

In the preparation and implementation of projects under this framework programme, special attention will be paid to ensure gender equality, sustainable environment, good governance/anti-corruption measures and human rights safeguards through inbuilt trainings and adapted indicators.

Concretely, the ongoing co-operation with the relevant working groups under the State Commission on European Integration of the Republic of Azerbaijan will be used to ensure the implementation of cross-cutting issues within projects under this programme.

Anticorruption is a focus of all IRPs per se, human rights are targeted in the framework of IRP 2.

3.5. Stakeholders

The Ministry of Economic Development in its role as the National Co-ordinator for EU Assistance to Azerbaijan co-ordinates the various programmes and projects funded under the NIP for the country. In this, it is assisted by the ministry's Programme Administration Office (PAO), which oversees the programming and the implementation of the Twinning instrument for the Government of Azerbaijan.

The Ministry of Foreign Affairs, in its capacity as national CIB Co-ordinator, and the Ministry of Economic Development are the key interlocutors for the development of the CIB.

The main stakeholders of the IRP 1 are the Ministry of Economic Development and Ministry of Foreign Affairs. Other stakeholders are State Customs Committee; State Committee for Standards, Patent and Metrology; State Public Procurement Agency; Ministry of Agriculture; State Copyrights Agency; Ministry of Taxes; E-governance Agency (non-exclusive list depending on the final version of IRP1). The Ministry of Justice was nominated the lead institution for IRP2, while the Azerbaijan Diplomatic Academy and the Civil Service Commission will be the sole beneficiaries of IRP3.

As the CIB is also open to funding by other donors, e.g. EU Member States and international organisations, the list of key stakeholders could increase over time. Institutional capacity of the CIB beneficiaries has been self assessed by the Government of Azerbaijan when the CIB Framework Document was drawn up.

4. IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

4.1. Financing agreement

In order to implement this action, it is foreseen to conclude a financing agreement with the partner country, referred to in Article 184(2)(b) of the Financial Regulation.

4.2. Indicative operational implementation period

The indicative operational implementation period of this action, during which the activities described in sections 3.2. and 4.3. will be carried out, is 60 months, subject to modifications to be agreed by the responsible authorising officer in the relevant agreements.

4.3. Implementation components and modules

This programme will consist of two components:

- I. Implementation of the ENP Action Plan
- II. Implementation of CIB

Each component will be implemented through Twinning grants call for proposals and service procurement contracts.

Under the CIB, and in the framework of the implementation of each IRP, a financial contribution of at least 20% (parallel procurement) will be required whenever EU funding is used for supply of EU norm driven equipment.

4.3.1. Grants: call for proposal for Twinning projects (direct centralised management)

Under the present programme, it is expected to conclude up to 10 Twinning grant contracts.

(a) Objectives of the grants, fields of intervention, priorities of the year and expected results

The Twinning calls for proposals modality will be used for implementing actions under objectives defined in section 3.1.

The activities and expected results of the Twinning calls for proposals will be in line with the expected results and activities defined in section 3.2.

(b) Eligibility conditions

In line with Article 15(2)(a) ENPI, participation in Twinning calls for proposals is limited to public administrations of the EU Member States, being understood as central or regional authorities of a Member State as well as their bodies and administrative structures and private law bodies with a public service mission under their control provided they act for the account and under the responsibility of that Member State.

(c) Essential selection and award criteria

The essential selection criterion is the operational capacity of the applicant.

The essential award criteria are the technical expertise of the applicant, and the relevance, methodology and sustainability of the proposed action.

(d) Maximum rate of co-financing

The rate of co-financing for Twinning grant contracts is 100%⁶.

(e) Indicative timing to launch the calls

The launch of the calls for proposals is indicatively planned for 1st and/or second half of 2015, depending for each Twinning call for proposals on the time needed to finalise the Twinning fiches, to conduct the Twinning call for proposals and to prepare the corresponding Twinning grant contracts. Twinning activities are expected to end in 2017.

(f) Use of lump sums/flat rates/unit rates

Twinning contracts include a system of unit costs, defined in the Twinning Manual, for the reimbursement of the public sector expertise provided by the selected Member States administrations. This system of unit rates exceeds the amount of EUR 60,000 per beneficiary of a Twinning contract.

4.3.2. Procurement (direct centralised management)

Subject				Type	Indicative	Indicative
					number of	trimester of
					contracts	launch of the
						procedure
Technical	assistance	for	the	Services	Up to 13	2/2015
implementation of ENP AP and CIB						

4.4. Scope of geographical eligibility for procurement in direct centralised and decentralised management

Subject to the following, the geographical eligibility in terms of place of establishment for participating in procurement procedures and in terms of origin of supplies and materials purchased as established in the basic act shall apply.

The responsible authorising officer may extend the geographical eligibility in accordance with Article 21(7) of the ENPI on the basis of the unavailability of products and services in the markets of the countries concerned, for reasons of extreme urgency, or if the eligibility rules would make the realisation of this action impossible or exceedingly difficult.

4.5. Indicative budget

Categories	EU funding (in EUR)
Component I (<i>ENP AP</i>), of which indicatively	5.6 million

⁶ As foreseen for in the Twinning Manual.

i) Twinning Calls for Proposals	5.0 million
ii) Procurement (direct centralised)	0.6 million
Component II (<i>CIB</i>), of which indicatively	9.15 million
i) Twinning Calls for Proposals ii) Programment (direct controllined)	6.0 million
ii) Procurement (direct centralised)	3.15 million
Evaluation and Audit	0.15 million
Communication and Visibility	0.1 million
TOTAL	15 million

4.6. Performance monitoring

The Commission may carry out Results Oriented Monitoring (ROM) via independent consultants, starting from the sixth month of project activities, which will be finalised at the latest 6 months before the end of the operational implementation phase.

For overall monitoring of the implementation of the CIB, a Steering Committee for each IRP will be set up to involve all national stakeholders and donors, as well as all relevant project representatives. Progress on the CIB is also monitored in the annual EU-Azerbaijan Sub-Committee on Justice, Rule of Law and Human Rights. Progress on Twinning activities are reviewed by the EU, the beneficiary and the implementing Member State administration in the framework of regular steering committee meetings.

4.7. Evaluation and audit

Evaluation and audit will be decided by the European Commission on a case-by-case basis and will be carried out with the support of technical advice of external consultants recruited by the Commission.

4.8. Communication and visibility

Each project prepared and contracted under this framework programme will have its own communication and visibility component and budget, according to the Communication and Visibility Manual for EU External Actions. In addition, funds are reserved to ensure overall visibility of the actions under this framework programme.

ANNEX 2

of the Commission Implementing Decision on the Annual Action Programme 2013 in favour of Azerbaijan to be financed from the general budget of the European Union

Action Fiche for Support to Regional and Rural Development in Azerbaijan

1. **IDENTIFICATION**

Title/Number	Support to Regional (SRRD)	and Rural Developme	nt in Azerbaijan
	CRIS number: ENPI	7/2013/024-493	
Total cost	Total estimated cost: EUR 10,000,000.		
	Total amount of EU	budget contribution: I	EUR 10,000,000.
Aid method /	Project Approach		
Method of			
implementation	Direct centralised management (grants – call for proposal and		
	direct award; procurement of services)		
DAC-code	43040	Sector	Rural development

2. RATIONALE AND CONTEXT

2.1. Summary of the action and its objectives

The Support to Regional and Rural Development (SRRD) supports the Government of Azerbaijan's (GoA) efforts in the diversification of the economy and sustainable development of the regions through the provision of assistance in line with the Pilot Regional Development Programme (PRDP) and European Neighbourhood Programme for Agriculture and Rural Development (ENPARD) approaches.

The overall objective of this programme is to contribute to the diversification of the economy and sustainable regional development in Azerbaijan, while reducing socio-economic disparities between regions.

This will be done through a comprehensive and targeted three-component approach.

The first component focuses on building income generation capacity in the regions through a civil society grant scheme supporting local employment generation and socio-economic development pilot initiatives. Support will be directed to market driven initiatives using a value chain approach in areas related to agriculture, rural development, tourism, handicrafts, small manufacture and agro-processing.

The second component aims at strengthening national and local authorities' capacities to plan, implement and administer programmes directed to enhance socio-economic development of regions and to advance the local self-governance reform.

The third component enables large scale regional development efforts by prioritizing and identifying priority investment projects that could have a long term socio economic impact in the regions. It aims at leveraging resources from International Financial Institutions (IFIs) and/or the state budget for the future realization of the larger strategic investment projects.

While all components are important as stand-alone initiatives in supporting regional development, addressing them concurrently in the SRRD allows for synergies that result in a wider sectoral impact.

This programme is fully in line with both the reform agenda of the Government of Azerbaijan and its Eastern Partnership (EaP) policy commitments, as well as with priorities identified in the National Indicative Programme (NIP) for Azerbaijan for the period 2011-2013 as regards the provisions of priority area 2: "Socio-economic reform and sustainable development, trade and investment, regulatory approximation and reform" and its sub-priority 2: "Diversification of economy; regional and rural development.

The programme presents some innovative features for Azerbaijan such as the involvement of civil society in the implementation of the bilateral envelope through the grant scheme as well as the introduction of preparatory works for innovative funding mechanisms such as loan/grant blending for infrastructure investment programmes.

2.2. Context

2.2.1. Country context

2.2.1.1. Economic and social situation and poverty analysis

In its last 21 years of independence, Azerbaijan has made significant progress in terms of socio-economic development. In 1992, Azerbaijan suffered not only from a war with neighbouring Armenia, but also the collapse of the economy. This is in stark contrast to the current situation.

At present Azerbaijan is classified by the OECD/DAC as upper middle income country, with a GDP per capita of USD 7,500 in 2012.

As a result of strong growth and targeted social assistance programmes, the official poverty rate has dropped fivefold, from 44.7% in 2003 to 6% in 2012⁷. According to official statistics, the unemployment rate is 5.4% and inflation within single digits (1.1% in 2012)⁸. External debts are only 7% of the GDP and the commercial foreign trade balance is positive. The EU remains the largest trade partner (52.1% share in the foreign trade turnover in 2011), but the structure of the Azerbaijani exports to the EU markets is largely dominated by oil and oil-related products, with limited prospects of diversification.

Compared with other Caucasus or Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS) countries Azerbaijani economy is less diversified: the share of the oil and gas sector accounts for about 95% of total export and well over 50% of GDP, but only occupies 2% of the labour force. In

⁷ www.stat.gov.az

⁸ www.stat.gov.az

contrast, the agricultural sector, which accounts only for 5.5% of the GDP provides income and employment for over $37.6\%^9$ of the population.

- Disparities between regions are also evident and even increasing. The Absheron region, for example, takes up only 3.9% of Azerbaijan's territory, but it produces 80% of overall industrial output and accounts for about 90% of all oil and non-oil investments in the country. GDP per capita in the non-oil sector is still three times higher in Baku than the national average.
- Oil-financed transfers and real wages growth has helped in reducing poverty drastically. According to a World Bank study¹⁰, 63.2% of households in Azerbaijan receive at least one type of social transfer. However, reliance on oil revenues exclusively may not be sustainable in the long run as it counters the forces of economic diversification and employment generation. Oil revenues may decline in the future, while social transfers and subsidies might become a disincentive for improving labour productivity and further stimulate the diversification of the economy. In addition, more inclusion is needed in allocating the benefits of growth. Certain groups in fact have benefitted less from the growth and these are: (1) Azerbaijani living in rural areas, especially those engaged in low-productivity agriculture; (2) Azerbaijanis living in small cities outside the capital; (3) internally displaced people (IDPs) who are insufficiently integrated into the economy.
- Therefore, to generate inclusive and sustained growth, Government policy should focus on implementing measures that can stimulate diversification in the economy and the development of slow growing non-oil sectors with high employment potential, such as agriculture, tourism and manufacturing.

2.2.1.2. National development policy

The main strategic document providing the overall priority-setting and development framework of the country is the Development Concept "Azerbaijan 2020: A Look into the Future" (in the following referred to as "Vision 2020") approved by the President Aliyev on 29 December 2012. The main policy goal stated in the document is to diversify the economy and to redistribute the oil wealth for a long-term sustainable and more balanced development. In this context, the main aspiration stated by the President of Azerbaijan is to double the GDP in the next ten years via the non-oil sector and attain the status of a high income country.

Besides the "Vision 2020", which sets more general long term policy objectives, regional and rural development and poverty reduction have been addressed in the national policy framework defined by the "State Programme for Poverty Reduction and Economic Development for 2008–2015" and the "State Programme for Socio-Economic Development of Azerbaijan's Regions for 2009–2013¹¹".

It has to be noted that the two state programmes mentioned above are mainly public investment programmes rather than real strategy documents and, as such, they do not present a result oriented focus with specific benchmarks and indicators and/or costed activities. This is however the normal practice in Azerbaijan.

⁹ www.stat.gov.az

World Bank, Azerbaijan: Inclusive Growth in a Resource-Rich Economy; 2013

¹¹ A follow-up state programme until 2016 is under preparation.

2.2.2. Sector context: policies and challenges

Anticipating challenges in terms of redistributing economic growth and wealth, the Government of Azerbaijan has declared the development of the non-oil economy and the reduction of socio-economic regional disparities as the most pressing priorities for the coming years.

To this end, development of the agriculture, tourism, and manufacturing sectors are viewed as crucial due to their scale and potential for growth.

As other economic sectors, the **agricultural sector** and the related processing industries have suffered from adverse consequences of privatization in the post-soviet period. While these structural problems prevail, thanks to the climatic and geographic advantages of the country, the agriculture sector has significant potential for expansion. While it accounts only for the 5.5% of the GDP, it still provides income and employment for about 37.6% of the employed population and remains the second largest export sector after oil and gas averaging 50% of exports in the non-oil sector¹².

In addition agriculture productivity, which is still very low, has ample margins for improvement if appropriate technologies are introduced. While agriculture growth between 2002 and 2010 was around 3.8% per annum, agriculture land yields for most crops have remained flat since 1985 and are still low compared to similar countries.

This is explained by the fact that after the land reform of the 90'ies the average size of the farms has decreased and self-subsistence family farming has become the most dominant case.

In addition, a small number of wholesalers dominate regional markets, resulting in significant difference between farm gate and retail prices. Agriculture services such as: irrigation, seed supply, veterinary services, machinery services, food safety regulations remain weak and need to be strengthened.

Insufficient knowledge about **innovation potential** through upgraded machinery, new crops and breeds, innovative production technologies and marketing abilities contribute to insufficient product development and marketing (packaging, branding etc.). At the same time production and quality standards are not yet up to date and in line e.g. with EU requirements.

The **tourism sector** provides some significant employment and income generation opportunities in particular for the population in rural and mountainous areas. Yet, despite of the country's attractive topography and its rich cultural heritage, tourism is with only 1.2% ¹³ of the GDP severely underdeveloped in Azerbaijan. In particular, the low quality of tourism services, lacking accommodation, weak or non-existent tourism infrastructure, insufficient marketing and visa requirements hamper the development of the sector. Furthermore, there is no systematic promotion of local and regional products, like handicrafts and typical local food.

Manufacturing is one of the main priorities of the economy, yet with only 4.4% of GDP its share remains low. Around 5% of the economically active population is working in this sector. Reasons for the weak performance of enterprises in this sector are lack of management

13 www.azstat.org

¹² 2011 figures (www.stat.goz.az)

capacity, strategic planning, business and marketing skills of the entrepreneurs and their staff.

Apart from these sector specific problems, a set of common **structural problems** (**horizontal issues**) have an adverse effect on the economy of the country. These include:

- Lack of appropriately skilled workforce. The education system in its current form cannot provide industrial enterprises and service providers with qualified human resources;
- Low self-organising potential of actors at the regional level. As a result, income generating potential, which is related to the systematic development of value chains, remains unexploited, and opportunities provided by regional market relations (local production cycles) are not utilised;
- Lack of policy level strategic approach to development of business-oriented infrastructure and services in the country (e.g. industrial parks, business centres, business incubators, business agencies, etc.) by both local and central government administrations.

While the Government has put significant efforts and resources into improving basic infrastructure (roads, water, energy, waste management) in the regions, there has been less focus on improving the overall local self-governance system, including streamlining interinstitutional relations and promoting a more participatory and democratic approach to local self-governance. It is however recognized, that implementing new approaches for regional and rural development is key to unleash a more sustainable and inclusive growth and for the future socio-economic cohesion of all regions of Azerbaijan.

2.3. Lessons learnt

- Implementation of sector budget support operations¹⁴ initiated in 2007 has been hobbled by heavy Government of Azerbaijan bureaucratic procedures, limited reform-oriented vision of the administration and lack of Public Finance Management (PFM) reform dialogue. For this reason the budget support modality is not proposed for AAP 2013.
- On the other hand, experience in managing direct support to civil society through horizontal budget lines (EIDHR and NSA/LA) has shown that well designed, targeted grant schemes can be very effective in Azerbaijan stimulating community mobilization, civic participation, and engaging local government in developing innovative and modern solutions.

Even though the reform of the local self-governance system is not high in the political agenda, GIZ (*Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit*) has been successful through its "Local Self-government Project in the South Caucasus" in working with local authorities by strengthening their capacities, in fostering stakeholders' dialogue and in promoting more participatory approaches to local and territorial planning. This shows that work in the democratic and local governance sphere is possible, especially if it is tackled at the local level.

While so far Azerbaijan has benefitted only marginally from the Neighbourhood Investment Facility (NIF) with only one project supported, the high level of large scale investment

_

¹⁴ In the energy, justice and agricultural sectors.

projects in the country indicates that there is significant potential for these sorts of interventions.

Building on these lessons, the proposed programme will be implemented through three main modalities: support to bottom-up initiatives directed to economic re-generation of rural areas, capacity and competence development of national and local authorities in managing regional and rural development processes, and the preparation of bankable infrastructure projects to leverage additional financing from IFIs and if possible through the NIF.

2.4. Complementary actions

The foreseen programme is sequenced with and will complement on-going and planned support interventions, funded under the NIP, such as:

- i. **Agricultural and Rural Development Support Programme (ARDP) SBS (AAP 2009)** which aims at supporting the State Programme for the "Reliable Supply of Food Products" in the area of agriculture production, food safety and food security. The earmarked budget for this programme is EUR 14 million (including EUR 1 million for technical assistance) to be implemented until the end of 2014.
- ii. **Regional Development Support Programme (RDSP) SBS (AAP 2011)** which aims at supporting the implementation of the State Programme on Sustainable Development of Regions. The programme's budget is EUR 20 million (including EUR 0.5 million for technical assistance) to be implemented during 2013-2015 period.
- iii. Neighbourhood East Civil Society Facility / Non-State Actors Programme (CSF/NSA). Under the CSF/NSA 2012 a Call for Proposals has been launched in April 2013¹⁵ for supporting small scale income generating activities in rural areas and showcasing pilot initiatives for local development. The indicative implementation period for the grant projects is 2014-2016.

Whereas assistance under AAP 2009 focuses on regulatory and institutional reforms of the food safety system and the security of food supply (building silos, markets, etc.) and AAP 2011 targets government industrial and private sector development related policies (industrial parks, entrepreneurship financing, business incubators), the grant funding under the CSF/NSA programme will pilot small scale bottom-up income and employment generating initiatives, thereby helping build the trust between civil society and the government in this field. The call will also serve to increase the Ministry of Economic Development's (MoED) awareness about EU procedures and to better target future calls to be financed under the proposed AAP2013. The SRRD will build on the results of the above mentioned programmes pursuing a more integrated and comprehensive approach to rural development, whereby the different components (support to bottom-up local development initiatives, capacity development at national and local level, civil society involvement, investment promotion) will mutually reinforce and complement each other.

In terms of sequencing, the activities implemented under the AAP 2009 (ARDP) will be completed by the time AAP 2013 operations will start, while activities under AAP 2011 (RDSP) and under the NSA/CSF will be half way in their implementation. Therefore, the

¹⁵ Call for proposals EuropeAid/134048/L/ACT/AZ.

lessons learned from these programmes will be fully taken into account during the inception phase and the implementation of the proposed programme.

In terms of focus, the two budget support programmes target more the policy and regulatory aspects, in areas such as food safety, technical barriers to trade, private sector development, industrial policy, while the proposed programme will focus more on capacity development of the different stakeholders and support to bottom-up approaches to regional and rural development.

Complementarity of the programme will be ensured with other Government programmes providing credit to entrepreneurship and agriculture ventures in rural areas such as the National Fund for Entrepreneurship Support or the Aqrarkredit Bank, by co-ordinating and streamlining regional and value chain focus of this programme with the relevant government programmes. The grant scheme will aim at facilitating the start-up of new market-driven small scale ventures which would normally not qualify for formal credit. The idea is that at the end of the projects promoted by the grant scheme, the beneficiaries will be able to apply to the credit institutions for expansion of their activities and thus ensure sustainability of the programme.

In the field of rural and regional development the most active donors are USAID, the World Bank (WB) and GIZ.

The World Bank is implementing the **AzRIP-2 project**, co-funded by the Government of Azerbaijan, which aims at investing in social infrastructure in six economic regions of Azerbaijan. The timeframe of the project is 2012-2016 with a total amount of USD 53.6 million. In the field of agriculture the WB has been implementing the **Agricultural Development and Credit Program (ADCP)**, which ended in 2012. A second phase of the programme (ADCP II) has been prepared and is currently in negotiations with the Government. The major focus of the new project would be to support the development of economic activities in the rural areas.

USAID is implementing the **Socio-Economic Development Activity** (SEDA, USD 14.4 million) project whose main objective is to provide support for community based socio-economic projects. The project will be implemented during the period 2012-2016 and covers the Aran and Guba-Khachmaz economic regions. In addition, USAID has been implementing in the last three years the **Azerbaijan Competitiveness and Trade** (ACT) project which was designed to improve the business environment; develop a liberalized, more transparent trade environment; and improve targeted value chains in the agricultural sector in Azerbaijan. The current project ends in September 2013, but a second phase is under preparation.

The "Municipal development and local self-governance in the South Caucasus" project implemented by GIZ is strengthening local governance stakeholders (civil society, municipalities and government institutions) and is supporting the exchange of experiences and best practice in local governance between the three countries of the South Caucasus. Two components are relevant for the SRRD: component 1 - provision of training and advisory services to local municipalities and civil society organisations to improve municipal capacity for service delivery and citizen participation; component 2 aims at improving the co-operation between local governance stakeholders at different levels through support for joint projects.

EU financial institutions are also active in Azerbaijan: EBRD is the largest investor in the private and financial sectors of Azerbaijan. In 2011 the EBRD invested in 12 projects for a total of USD 391 million. EBRD's main areas of co-operation are: the enterprise sector, the financial sector, infrastructure development and institutional governance. EBRD also provided in 2011 a USD 250 million loan for the reconstruction of key regional roads, co-financed by the NIF. *Kreditanstalt für Wiederaufbau* (KFW) is active in Azerbaijan in the field of waste and water management. Recently a USD 100 million loan has been agreed with GoA for the rehabilitation of the water supply and sewage systems of Ganja and Shaki. EIB and *Agence Française de Développement* (AFD) have not been accredited yet in Azerbaijan and have therefore no operations running.

2.5. Donor co-ordination

In terms of co-ordination, all donors involved in the socio-economic dimension meet on a regular basis to co-ordinate their activities. This donors group is currently co-ordinated by the EU Delegation. In addition, there are two sector co-ordination sub-groups directly related to the priorities of the SRRD: i) Non-oil sector development, trade and investment (currently co-ordinated by USAID/ Switzerland) and ii) Agriculture and Rural Development (currently co-ordinated by USAID). These co-ordination groups represent an important forum for information sharing and discussion on common policy issues, while at the same avoiding overlapping, maximising complementarity, and ensuring coherent policy dialogue with the Government.

Lastly, considering that one of the SSRD objectives is to leverage additional financing from IFIs for infrastructure investment projects in the regions, co-ordination with IFIs will be ensured at all times and especially with the EU financial institutions (EBRD, KFW, EIB, AFD) in the framework of the NIF.

3. DETAILED DESCRIPTION

3.1. Objectives

The overall objective of this programme is to contribute to the diversification of the economy and sustainable regional development in Azerbaijan, while reducing socio-economic disparities between regions.

Specific Objectives

- 1. To foster economic regeneration and job creation in rural areas of Azerbaijan;
- 2. To strengthen national and local authorities' capacities to plan, implement and administer programmes directed to enhance socio-economic development of regions;
- 3. To support local and national authorities in the prioritization, identification and appraisal of large investment projects that have a clear strategic impact in the development of regions and that can be further financed through blending mechanisms and/or state budget.

3.2. Expected results and main activities

Objective 1: To foster economic regeneration and job creation in rural areas of Azerbaijan

• **Result 1.1:** Community based initiatives for market-driven income and employment generation in rural areas of Azerbaijan promoted;

Main activities include:

- Support to start up and expansion of market driven small scale initiatives using a value chain approach in areas such agriculture, tourism, handicraft and agro-processing.
- Technical and business advice, including preparation of business plans, and support to existing small and medium businesses/enterprises seeking to expand and to establish new businesses/enterprises, either in, or impacting upon, the rural areas of Azerbaijan;
- Creation or enhancement of appropriate production, processing and marketing systems, for a wide range of traditional and new rural pursuits (agriculture and nonagriculture);
- Support co-operative production, group marketing and promotion, including actions that will improve member organisations (producers, women, youth groups, IDPs, etc.) to form "clusters" and to represent and advocate for their own interest;
- Strengthen capacities of community-based organisations, producer associations, farmers' and women groups, IDPs to better advocate for their needs and actively participate in the socio-economic development of their communities;
- Training and skills development in support of employment generating opportunities;
- Support to community mobilization and civic participation.

Objective 2: To strengthen national and local authorities' capacities to plan, implement and administer programmes directed to enhance socio-economic development of regions;

• **Result 2.1:** Capacities of public authorities in applying regional management tools strengthened;

Main activities include:

- Provision of technical assistance (TA) to MoED, its regional offices and relevant government bodies to implement regional development processes. The capacities supported should include, among others, capacities and competences for: planning, implementing and administering rural development policies and actions; involvement of rural stakeholders in planning and programming; inter-ministerial co-ordination.
- Technical support to MoED for steering, monitoring and co-ordinating the different initiatives supported through the SRRD.
- Ad-hoc policy advice to MoED and relevant government bodies in the areas of SMEs development, business oriented infrastructure and services, clustering, value chain development, skills development, sector specific technical regulations (seed development, law on co-operative production, etc.);
- **Result 2.2:** Local authorities' capacity in management and territorial planning strengthened.

Main activities include:

- Support to territorial planning

- Institutional capacity building of municipalities and local authorities;
- Development of sustainable local/regional development plans which are responsive to socio-economic needs of the population and environmental challenges of the territory.

Objective 3: To support local and national authorities in the prioritization, identification and appraisal of large investment projects that have a clear strategic impact in the development of regions and that can be further financed through blending mechanisms and/or state budget;

Expected Results

- **Result 3.1:** Potential strategic investment projects identified and their pre-feasibility assessed:
- **Result 3.2:** Additional funds from the state budget and IFIs attracted.

Main activities:

- Methodological support for the identification and selection of infrastructure projects
- Facilitation of consultation process between public authorities and civil society and other stakeholders about identified projects;
- Preparation of pre-feasibility studies and environmental impact assessments for bigscale investment projects;
- Preparation of bankable projects for leveraging additional financing from IFIs.

The three components are complementary and mutually supportive: the first component targets community-based initiatives that will trigger economic and employment generation at the local level; the second component addresses capacity development at the central and local level by strengthening the capacities of MoED and other public bodies to steer the regional development process; and the third component will target infrastructure needs at the regional level which may have been identified within the previous components and that could further stimulate the socio-economic potentials of the regions targeted.

While all these components are important as stand-alone initiatives in supporting regional development, addressing them concurrently in the SRRD allows for synergies that result in a wider sectoral impact. Specifically:

• Pilot initiatives conducted by civil society at the local level not only have a direct impact on the livelihood of target communities, but also may influence policy decision at the central level. For example, an analysis of the hazelnut value chain has demonstrated that problems exist at all levels, from production, to processing, and conformity certification ¹⁶. It has been demonstrated that in order to unlock the export potential, efforts should target both ends: the local level, improving producers' capacity and organization and at the central level, working on strengthening laboratory capacity and the sanitary and phyto-sanitary (SPS) regulatory framework. The same

¹⁶ GoA Directorate General Health and Consumers Audit 2012

- can be said for other value chains with export potential (wine, pomegranate, citrus, dairy, etc.)
- Likewise, providing pilot examples of successful co-operative production schemes
 following the European model might influence positively the Government attitude
 towards this approach. Due to the fragmentation of land-ownership, consolidation of
 production and marketing is crucial to improve the productivity and efficiency of the
 system.
- Furthermore, good bottom-up initiatives showing the benefits of civic participation in local development issues, is likely to influence positively the overall Government approach towards the reform of the local self-governance system.
- Lastly, the third component will trigger the investment in strategic infrastructure
 which might be identified through the other two components, with direct involvement
 of the communities and local authorities concerned, thus ensuring that the projects
 selected will respond to clear identified needs, will be environmentally sound and will
 be fully accepted by the local population.

In conclusion, by working on both levels and ensuring that civil society initiatives are in place while supporting government reform efforts, the expected impact of the interventions will be maximised.

3.3. Risks and assumptions

• Even though the orientation, structure and content of the SRRD Programme is fully in line with the Government of Azerbaijan's and the co-ordinating Ministry's policies for the coming period, the main risk, which might affect the proper implementation of the programme lies in the centralized structures and the (expectedly) weak management capacities for steering such a tool at national and – in particular – at regional level.

To mitigate this risk, the programme foresees under result 2 comprehensive capacity building measures aiming at improving regional development planning and co-ordination capacities of MoED and its regional offices.

Another significant risk is the uncertainty of the Government's commitment to advancing self-governance reforms and overall lack of governmental strategic approach to territorial planning. Mitigation measures for this include continued policy dialogue with the Government, both demonstrating the potential development benefits of regional development as well as reminders of its commitments both internationally (Council of Europe) as well as internally (Azerbaijan 2020: A Look into the Future);

In addition, recent Government restrictions on civil society organisations' (CSOs) activities in the regions and the general reservation to co-operate with civil society, if continued, may limit the effectiveness of the bottom-up initiatives promoted through the SRRD. Continue wide policy dialogue with the Government regarding the role and benefits of partnership with CSOs will be also another important mitigating measure pursued by the EU Delegation.

3.4. Cross-cutting issues

- In the preparation and implementation of projects under this programme, special attention will be paid to ensure gender equality, sustainable environment/climate change, good governance/anti-corruption measures and human rights safeguards.
- In particular, it is expected that this programme will have a direct positive impact in empowering women groups in advocating for their interest and in actively participating in the socio-economic development of rural areas. Another group that will be targeted under the first component will be the IDPs, which so far have only marginally benefitted from the economic growth and are still not present enough into the labour market.
- Environmentally sustainable development will be streamlined throughout the implementation of this programme. The income generating activities promoted under this programme (component 1) will have to be evaluated against their impact on the environment. Following recommendations of the Country Environmental Profile (CEP) produced in early 2013, particular attention will be paid to land and water use, considering the particular relevance of these resources for the future sustainable development of the regions. In addition, environmental considerations will be systematically taken into account during the preparation of local development plans (component 2). Specific training in this sense will be organized for local authorities and community based organisations (CBOs).

Following another recommendation of the CEP, under component 3 priority will be given to investment projects that will have a clear link to the environmental protection or in climate change adaptation (renewable energy, waste and water projects, etc.). Under this component, environmental impact assessments for large investment projects may also be financed.

By fostering community participation and democratic governance, the project will also have a direct impact on horizontal governance issues such as the fight against corruption, transparency and accountability in the efficient use of public resources at the local and central level.

3.5. Stakeholders

- The following organisations have been identified as potential beneficiaries of this assistance:
- 1. **State stakeholders at national level** are the Ministry of Economic Development of the Republic of Azerbaijan which is the co-ordinating Government body for the SRRD, in charge of regional development, and other national line ministries with responsibilities in certain areas with a bearing on local/regional economic development. According to the content and structure of the PRDP and ENPARD, in particular the Ministries of Agriculture, Education, Tourism and Labour will be included in the consultation and implementation processes of the programme. The co-ordinating functions of the MoED will be supported by specific TA support under component 2 of this programme. Targeted assistance will be directed also to MoED's regional branches for the co-ordination and monitoring of the different actions at the local level.
- 2. **State stakeholders at local level** and potential beneficiaries of the SRRD interventions are in particular the elected bodies in municipalities and rayons and/or their representative

organisations. The heads of rayons as appointed representatives of the President, heads of municipalities, as well as the representatives of the line ministries in the regions shall be included in the programme implementation on a consultative basis. Assistance to public stakeholders at the local level will be provided mainly through GIZ in the framework of the result 2.2.

3. The inclusion of **non-governmental stakeholders** in the programme design and implementation is one of the innovative features of this programme in line with the guiding principles of the PRDP and the new European Neighbourhood Policy. NGOs engaged in socio-economic development activities in the regions are the direct addressees as well as prime beneficiaries of the grant scheme under the first component of the programme. In addition to "classic" NGOs (e.g. development organisations), the participation of intermediary organisations, CBOs, business associations, research institutions, training and qualification providers and similar institutions is foreseen. In addition, among the final target groups of the grant scheme will be community based organization, small-holder farmers and producer groups, youth, woman organisations as well as IDPs and other vulnerable groups.

Experience has shown that specialised NGOs are very effective in supporting communities and groups in participating in local economic development processes, by promoting bottom-up local initiatives which, if successful, may also influence policy development at the regional and national level.

4. IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

4.1. Financing agreement

In order to implement this action, it is foreseen to conclude a financing agreement with the partner country, referred to in Article 184(2)(b) of the Financial Regulation.

4.2. Indicative operational implementation period

The indicative operational implementation period of this action, during which the activities described in sections 3.2. and 4.3. will be carried out, is 60 months, subject to modifications to be agreed by the responsible authorising officer in the relevant agreements.

4.3. Implementation components and modules

The programme will be implemented through:

- 4.3.1.: Grants: call for proposal (direct centralised management) for implementing activities foreseen under Objective 1
- 4.3.2.: Grant: direct award (direct centralised management) for implementing activities under Objective 2, Result 2
- 4.3.3.: Procurement (direct centralised management) service contracts for implementing activities under Objective 2, Result 1 and Objective 3.

4.3.1. Grants: call for proposal "Promotion of local initiatives for income and employment generation" (Objective 1) (direct centralised management)

(g) Objectives of the grants, fields of intervention, priorities of the year and expected results

The call for proposals modality will be used for implementing actions under Objective 1. The aim of the call will be to promote community based, bottom-up initiatives for income and employment generation following a value-chain approach.

The activities and expected results of the call will be in line with point 3.2 of this action fiche.

(h) Eligibility conditions

The call for proposals will be open to non-profit making legal persons established in Azerbaijan, in the European Union, in an IPA or EEA country, and to international organisations. The following type of organisations will be eligible: non-governmental organisations, community based organizations, business associations, public sector operators, local authorities, international (inter-governmental) organisations.

(i) Essential selection and award criteria

The essential selection criteria are financial and operational capacity of the applicant.

The essential award criteria are relevance of the proposed action to the objectives of the call; design, effectiveness, feasibility, sustainability and cost-effectiveness of the action.

(j) Maximum rate of co-financing

The maximum possible rate of co-financing for grants under this call is 90% of the eligible costs of the action.

The maximum possible rate of co-financing may be up to 100% in accordance with Articles 192 of the Financial Regulation if full funding is essential for the action to be carried out. The essentiality of full funding will be justified by the responsible authorising officer in the award decision, in respect of the principles of equal treatment and sound financial management.

(k) Indicative trimester to launch the call

Second trimester of year 2015.

4.3.2. Grant: direct award to GIZ (direct centralised management)

(a) Objectives of the grant, fields of intervention, priorities of the year and expected results

The objective of this component is to implement activities under Objective 2, Result 2, notably in the area of participatory territorial planning, capacity development of local authorities and more in general, in the reform of the local self-governance system.

(b) Justification of a direct grant

Under the responsibility of the authorising officer by delegation, the grant may be awarded without a call for proposals to GIZ.

The recourse to an award of a grant without a call for proposals is justified because GIZ has a successful track record in working on local-self-governance issues in Azerbaijan and the South Caucasus both at the local level in strengthening the capacity of municipalities and at the central level by providing high-level advisory services to the MoED and other stakeholders. Through its South Caucasus Local Governance Project, GIZ has been successful in stimulating dialogue between CSOs and local authorities and in promoting a more participatory approach to local development issues. In addition, reform of the local self-governance system in Azerbaijan is a politically sensitive field which can neither be tackled through normal technical assistance contracts, nor through scattered CSO projects, particularly taking into account the recent crackdown on CSO activities in the regions.

GIZ is presently the only organisation capable of operating in this field of activity in Azerbaijan to which the grant relates by virtue of all considerations of fact.

Other positive external effects of a direct grant award to GIZ are the complementarity and synergy with on-going GIZ programmes and the leveraged additional financing of a minimum of 20% co-financing from GIZ.

(c) Eligibility conditions

Not applicable

(d) Essential selection and award criteria

The essential selection criteria are financial and operational capacity of the applicant.

The essential award criteria are relevance of the proposed action; design, effectiveness, feasibility, sustainability and cost-effectiveness of the action.

(e) Maximum rate of co-financing

The maximum possible rate of co-financing for this grant is 80%.

The maximum possible rate of co-financing may be up to 100% in accordance with Articles 192 of the Financial Regulation if full funding is essential for the action to be carried out. The

essentiality of full funding will be justified by the responsible authorising officer in the award decision, in respect of the principles of equal treatment and sound financial management.

(f) Indicative trimester to contact the potential direct grant beneficiary

First trimester of 2015.

4.3.3. Procurement (direct centralised management)

Subject	Type	Indicative	Indicative
		number of	trimester
		contracts	of launch
			of the
			procedure
Objective 2.1: TA and policy advice to MoED	Services	1	I/2015
and other public bodies	Services		
Objective 3: TA in support of identification of		6	I/2015
large investment projects and blending	Services		
mechanism			
Audit, evaluation, visibility	Services	4	I/2015

4.4. Scope of geographical eligibility for procurement in direct centralised and decentralised management

Subject to the following, the geographical eligibility in terms of place of establishment for participating in procurement procedures and in terms of origin of supplies and materials purchased as established in the basis act shall apply.

The responsible authorising officer may extend the geographical eligibility in accordance with Article 21(7) of the ENPI Regulation on the basis of the unavailability of products and services in the markets of the countries concerned, for reasons of extreme urgency, or if the eligibility rules would make the realisation of this action impossible or exceedingly difficult.

4.5. Indicative budget

Module	Amount	Third party contribution
	(in EUR)	
		(in EUR,
		indicative)
4.3.1. – Call for proposals (direct centralised)	4 300 000	1 075 000
4.3.2. – Direct grant to GIZ (direct centralised)	2 000 000	500 000
4.3.3. – Procurement (direct centralised) Service contracts	3 400 000	N.A.
4.7. – Evaluation and audit	200 000	N.A.
4.8. – Communication and visibility	100 000	N.A.
Total	10 000 000	1 575 000

4.6. Performance monitoring

A Steering Committee (SC) will be established in order to steer and monitor implementation of the programme. It will be chaired by the MoED with the participation of other national and local relevant stakeholders (Ministry of Agriculture, Ministry of Labour, Ministry of Tourism and Culture etc.). Exact composition will be decided upon the start of the programme implementation together with the MoED.

The programme will be monitored according to standard procedures based on regular assessment of progress and delivery of specified programme results and the extent to which the programme objectives have been achieved. Key objectively verifiable indicators (OVIs) will be defined for this purpose. The baseline is provided by official statistics (2013 figures) and by the status-report which was commissioned by the EU Delegation for the preparation of the PRDP and which present an overview and analysis of the main socio-economic indicators of the regions in 2012.

The internal monitoring system will be set-up by MoED with the support of a dedicated TA project (see result 2.1). MoED will be in charge to monitor and steer all the various components supported under the programme.

External monitoring will be undertaken in the form of Results Oriented Monitoring (ROM) via independent consultants, starting from the sixth month of project activities.

4.7. Evaluation and audit

Evaluation of the results achieved as well as external audits (when necessary) may be entrusted to external consultants.

A final programme evaluation will be carried out to assess programme performance, achievements and impact. Finding of this evaluation will guide future interventions to be supported under the Strategic Support Framework (SSF) 2014-2017 in the area of regional/rural development.

4.8. Communication and visibility

Adequate visibility of the EU supported initiatives under this programme will be ensured through its implementation. For this purpose, each project implementing the programme will have its own communication and visibility component and budget, according to the Communication and Visibility Manual for EU External Actions.